

RISK ASSESSMENT

Activity Assessed: Covid-19 Risk & Mitigation Review

Assessment Date: 11/06/2021

Assessment Reference: The Nursing Centre

Name of Assessor: Malc McKay

Review Date: 10/12/2021

| Ref. No. | Hazard | Persons at Risk and How They Might be Harmed | Infection Control Measures Currently in Place | Current Risk Level | | | | Further Controls Recommended | Action by Whom | Action by Date | Completed Date |
|----------|-----------|--|---|--------------------|---|----|-------------|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | L | S | R | Risk Rating | | | | |
| 1. | Infection | Staff Residents Visitors Delivery drivers Ambulance emergency staff | INFECTION PREVENTION Maintained staffing levels, including working from home where feasible, formatted contingency plans for minimal staffing requirements to maintain service in the event of an outbreak | 3 | 5 | 15 | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Implement a general 'Lock-down' when a test is positive, only allowing essential access | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | The 4 Units remain segregated within the home, each having their own staff room & their own access & egress Provided toasters for each unit, along with a full Risk Assessment & Method Statement | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Continued Kitchen segregation, to work in isolation from the home with method statement. Kitchen hygiene & SFBB compliance Inspections occurring Frequency of kitchen deliveries minimalised | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Servicing of equipment being re-scheduled Only essential urgent maintenance being completed by in-house staff | | | | Low | Monitor & Review, Risk Assess Essential servicing & certification & plan dates, provide Method statements | H&S & Maintenance Manager | Ongoing | Ongoing |

RISK ASSESSMENT

| Ref. No. | Hazard | Persons at Risk and How They Might be Harmed | Infection Control Measures Currently in Place | Current Risk Level | | | | Further Controls Recommended | Action by Whom | Action by Date | Completed Date |
|----------|--------|--|--|--------------------|---|----|-------------|--|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | L | S | R | Risk Rating | | | | |
| | | | Planned Contractor works postponed until end June | 3 | 5 | 15 | Low | Monitor & Review | H&S & Maintenance Manager | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | INFECTION CONTROL Appointed a Covid-19 'Infection Control Lead' | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Alcohol dispensers at every access / egress point | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Provided Gov't guidance, supported by appropriate signage | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Provision of PPE, including face mask, gloves & disposable aprons | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Changed working process to maximise infection control, personal hygiene & cleaning Infection Control Audits occurring | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Clocking in & out of shifts with individual time sheets continuing | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Formatted Isolation protocol & procedures for symptomatic residents & staff | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Implemented staggered staff breaks to maintain distancing in the staffrooms | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | Kitchen Staff change into their uniform on arrival to work | | | | Medium | Monitor & review after 6 months or in the event of an infection incident | Management & H&S | Ongoing | Ongoing |
| | | | | | | | Medium | Provision of Face Mask & Hand Gel for staff travelling to work on public transport | Management | Immediate | Ongoing |
| | | | | | | | Medium | Commence booking appropriate Covid-19 testing for all staff & residents | Management | Immediate | Ongoing |

RISK ASSESSMENT

| Ref. No. | Hazard | Persons at Risk and How They Might be Harmed | Infection Control Measures Currently in Place | Current Risk Level | | | | Further Controls Recommended | Action by Whom | Action by Date | Completed Date |
|----------|--------|--|---|--------------------|---|---|-------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | | L | S | R | Risk Rating | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Medium | Provide staff update informing on continuing & new measures & the reasoning behind this | Management | 1 Week | Ongoing |

Risk Assessment Information

Evaluating Risk:

This risk assessment is based on the 5 Steps to Risk Assessment model and uses numerical values to calculate the levels of risk.

In practice this means we simply assign a value of 1-5 for the likelihood of the hazard causing harm and a value of 1-5 for the severity of the harm should it occur (1 being the lowest value, 5 being the highest). The two figures are then multiplied to achieve a risk rating score: $L \times S = R$.

For example if a worker changes a light bulb in an indoor ceiling light using a stepladder twice a year then we can rate the likelihood as '1' due to the low frequency of the activity being performed. However as injuries as a result of falls from height can be serious (even from relatively short distances) then we can rate the severity as a '4', Using the calculation we multiply $1 \times 4 = 4$. This produces a 'Very Low' Risk Level on the Risk Rating Key.

Another example would be for a worker who regularly has to change light bulbs as a part of their job, sometimes outside and in adverse weather conditions. The likelihood would increase to '5', reflecting the regularity of the action and the potentially increased chance of falling while working outside on uneven ground and in bad weather, while the severity would remain at '4'. Again using the calculation we multiply $5 \times 4 = 20$. This returns a Risk Rating of High on the Risk Rating Key.

Likelihood and Severity Key:

| Likelihood | | Severity | |
|------------|--------------------|----------|---------------|
| Rating | Guide words | Rating | Guide words |
| 1 | Extremely unlikely | 1 | No/Minor harm |
| 2 | Unlikely | 2 | Moderate harm |
| 3 | Likely | 3 | Serious harm |
| 4 | Extremely likely | 4 | Major harm |
| 5 | Almost certain | 5 | Catastrophic |

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Rating Key:

| Score | Risk Level | Description |
|-------|------------|--|
| 1-4 | Very Low | These risks are considered acceptable. No further action is necessary other than to ensure that the controls are maintained. |
| 5-10 | Low | No additional controls are required unless they can be implemented at very low cost (in terms of time, money and effort). Actions to further reduce these risks are assigned low priority. Arrangements should be made to ensure that the controls are maintained. |
| 11-15 | Medium | Consideration should be given as to whether the risks can be lowered, but the costs of additional risk reduction measures should be taken into account. The risk reduction measures should be implemented within a defined time period. Arrangements should be made to ensure that the controls are maintained, particularly if the risk levels are associated with harmful consequences. |
| 15-20 | High | Substantial efforts should be made to reduce the risk. Risk reduction measures should be implemented urgently within a defined time period and it might be necessary to consider suspending or restricting the activity, or to apply interim risk controls, until this has been completed. Considerable resources might have to be allocated to additional controls. Arrangements should be made to ensure that the controls are maintained, particularly if the risk levels are associated with extremely harmful consequences and very harmful consequences. |
| 20+ | Very High | These risks are unacceptable. Substantial improvements in risk controls are necessary, so that the risk is reduced to an acceptable level. The work activity should be halted until risk controls are implemented that reduce the risk so that it is no longer very high. If it is not possible to reduce risk the work should remain prohibited. |

Definitions:

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Risk Assessment | A systematic examination of workplace risks in 5 steps: 1) Identify the hazards, 2) Identify who might be harmed and how, 3) Evaluation the hazard (by examining current controls and recommending further controls), 4) Recording the assessment and 5) Reviewing the assessment. |
| Hazard | Something with the potential to cause harm e.g. tools, machinery, work equipment, substances, workstation, unsafe system of work etc. |
| Harm | The damage that a hazard may cause e.g. physiological effects (physical injury, ill health) and psychological factors (e.g. stress), loss of time/efficiency and damage to the premises/equipment. |
| Likelihood | The chance that a hazard realises its potential to cause harm. |
| Severity | Extent of injury, damage etc. |
| Risk | The probability of a hazard actually causing harm. |
| Controls | Measures introduced or installed to reduce to a minimum the possibility of harm to persons, plant and property. |